

## ERRATA CONCERNING THE SECTION 112(G) PACKAGE

Listed below is a listing of inconsistencies between our typographical errors in the section 112(g) package materials. Please add this note to copies of the package used for public comment.

1. The pollutant methyl hydrazine (CAS # 60344) is listed both in Table I - "nonthreshold" pollutants, and in Table III - "high-concern" pollutants in the hazard ranking technical support document and the regulation. It should be listed only once in Table III. Therefore, it is not available as an offset for other "nonthreshold" pollutants due to concerns from severe toxicity from short term exposure.
2. The treatment of fine mineral fibers does not appear to be consistent between the de minimis technical support document, the hazard ranking technical support document, and the regulation. Listing of ceramic fibers and crystalline silica should be omitted as examples of fine mineral fibers from Table IV of the hazard ranking document, and the Table of de minimis values and Table IV of the regulation. The de minimis value for any pollutant which fits the definition of a fine mineral fiber, as defined in section 112(b) of the Clean Air Act Amendments, is zero awaiting public comment on this issue.
3. The de minimis value for arsine (CAS # 7784421) is incorrect in the de minimis technical support document and the de minimis table in the regulation. The value should be 0.1 tons per year based on its classification as a "high-concern" pollutant. Arsine does not have the same weight-of-evidence for carcinogenicity as does the category of arsenic and inorganic arsenic compounds. Arsine is listed correctly in Table III of the regulation and the hazard ranking technical support document.
4. There is an inconsistency in a footnote in the de minimis table in the regulation and the de minimis technical support document. This footnote was clarified after the Administrator signed the section 112(g) package. The DEF=1 notation is correct in the table in the most current version of the regulation which is available on the Technology Transfer Network, but not in the most current version of the de minimis technical support document. The correct footnote should say "DEF=1 = Used for substances with not UR, that are in an EPA weight-of-evidence category

A, B, or C, or IARC category 1 or 2."

5. In the regulation part of a footnote to Table IV was left out. The footnote for Trivalent Chromium compounds should be " \*\*\*\*\* = awaiting a determination by the Agency (except for chromic chloride)".
6. There is a typographical error on page 77 of the regulation. The first lines after the four hazard ranking tables should delete the words " limitation established pursuant to section 63.45 of this subpart"
7. In the version of the regulation which is in the dockets Table 1 has a typographical error. Nickel compounds should not have anything under the CAS # column and should have \*\*\*\*\* after the listing of the chemical name. The footnote should read "\*\*\*\*\* = Except subsulfide, carbonyl, and refinery dust, nickel and nickel salts are treated similarly to CERCLA section 101 and treated like compounds having a weight of evidence of Group C. The IARC has given Nickel as a class a weight of evidence of Group I or 'carcinogenic to humans.'"